1. There is quite little chance that flexible methodologies like scrum can efficiently work with very large and complex projects because in such kinds of project there will be different stakeholders with different specifications and because it takes humongous time span to implement, it makes difficult to follow and manage work style by scrum master in sprint.
2. When such cases happen when it is quite ambiguous to make boundaries among sprints or turmoil to break it down we can use blend approach where we try to follow and implement according to mix elements of waterfall and incremental approach.
3. In case of scrum it is very necessary to involves stakeholder or supplier in cycle , in such issues project manager or scrum master try to direct work from available resources and will raise this concern to highest authority to overcome this.
4. If customer don’t want to communication during implementation , it’s responsibility of teams behind requirement specification to get full understanding and potential changes in specifications. In such cases there should be rigorous specification must be done.
5. Detailed up-front cannot be completely possible in case of incremental approach. In that scenario we need to use waterfall methodology and we need to clarify all this things to customer or stakeholder.
6. Before project go-head, customers’ no scope policy should be taken into account before deciding anything on project specifically which methodologies are going to be used
7. If trade-off is not allowed then project developer should reorganized all resources to work accordingly so that it won’t become cumbersome to original work to finish on deadlines
8. In case of Customer being inflexible for rigid methodology, we should focus on waterfall approach. We need to make customer clear that there are very limited scope for requirement update or maintenance.
9. There will surely be issues of inconsistency and incompliance during implement if there is indifference between specifications of customer and producer. This needs to be resolved by frequent coordinations.
10. Customer’s defiance about agile or scrum methodology is because of his lack of knowledge about it. If Project developers are comfortable, this methodology should be clearly explained to customer and try to convince customer.
11. When customer is enforcing its own methodology or work ethics during implementation he should be specified about its constraints and limitations especially concerned with sources, cost and human resources.
12. There should be clear demarcation if there are separate contractors working on different methodology so that distributions of cost, time, resources and human can be assigned accordingly.
13. yes, because this is why flexible methodologies is beyond banalities of other methods where during any incremental deliveries we can modify whatever we want

14. yes, it can be maintained but it is severely difficult to handle all there resource to organize as a team for certain persons so it should have dedicated people to manage this colossal task.